

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/629,885	07/29/2003	David N. Nichols	86533PCW	5571	
7590 09/09/2004			EXAMINER		
Thomas H. Close			GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A		
Patent Legal Sta Eastman Kodak		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
343 State Street			2811		
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				lh.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/629,885	NICHOLS ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Samuel A Gebremariam	2811	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this community ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status		_		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> ·		
2a)[☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i>	·	-	ts is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl prity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage	•
Attachmen	nt(s)			
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)	
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	Endemark Office			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens, US patent No. 5,192,990 in view of Matsumoto et al. US patent No. 4,878,120.

Regarding claim 1, Stevens teaches (figs. 1 and 4, col. 2, lines 44-68) an image sensor (10) comprising: (a) an image sensing portion (12) for receiving incident light that is converted to a plurality of charge packets; (b) a transfer mechanism for transferring the charge packets from the image sensing portion (from elements 12 to 14, col. 2, lines 54-62); and (c) an output structure (16) that receives the charge packets from the transfer mechanism for transporting output signals from the image sensor.

Stevens does not teach the output structure comprises a transparent conductor for a gate electrode gate.

The use of transparent conductor as a gate electrode is conventional and also taught by Matsumoto (figs. 3A and 3B, col. 6, lines 1-16) in the structure an image sensor.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a transparent conductor as a gate electrode as taught by

Application/Control Number: 10/629,885 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

Matsumoto in order to improve electrical conductivity of the contact in the light receiving region.

Regarding claim 2, Stevens as modified, the transparent conductor is indium tin oxide (col. 6, lines 1-16, Matsumoto).

Regarding claim 3, Stevens as modified teaches the output structure is a source follower (col. 3, lines 38-56, Stevens).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References B-D are cited as being related to image sensors. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A Gebremariam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1653. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Application/Control Number: 10/629,885

Art Unit: 2811

Page 4

SAG

September 6, 2004